

Background to emails to and from the National Board of Health and Welfare from the sender (Emails follow below September 16 - October 7)

I have seen with great despair how old people were denied hospital care for c19 and that patients with more diseases did not receive hospital care. Much has been noticed in Sörmlandsmedia as well. I followed a friend's thread where she discussed with the National Board of Health and Welfare (Anna Granström) without getting an answer. Therefore, I entered into the discussion and sought answers.

The first answer described that the National Board of Health and Welfare had clearly written that the triage rules published would NOT be used as long as there were vacant hospital places. In the same email, I also receive a link that describes palliative care, a care that will improve the quality of life for an incurably ill patient for as long as possible. Palliative care is transferred to PALLIATIVE CARE AT THE END OF LIFE when it is not possible to do more and the patient is dying. This should not be started without a BREAKING POINT CONVERSATION with the patient and / or relative.

For c19 patients, in many cases palliative care in the end-of-life stage has been initiated directly even without a break-up call. A number of these "treatments" have been forcibly interrupted by relatives, after which the patient has recovered.

After this, I consulted with a doctor who wrote that it is the regions that have made their own guidelines. The National Board of Health and Welfare then wrote that they had looked at such and did not know that such existed. The National Board of Health and Welfare also wrote that it is IVO that reviews the guidelines.

During the e-mail exchange, the National Board of Health and Welfare became more and more careful to make reservations and correct previous statements in the e-mail to me. Finally, they offered to review a regional document. I sent 2 with the justification that one applies to those who live at home and receive home help (Örebro document) and the other (Västra Götaland) applies to people who live in different care homes.

For those who read these documents, it is easy to understand that they have been used to deny c19 patients care and thus caused unnecessary deaths. However, the National Board of Health and Welfare claims that the documents could not cause someone to be denied oxygen or other hospital care!

Should an authority that controls human life be able to express itself so badly that patients are killed even though there are hospital places and more have demonstrably become healthy when the killing was interrupted? The Ministry of Social Affairs has always claimed that EVERYONE has an equal right to care as needed. They have been drawn to this several times in the Parliament, without taking action!

https://www.riksdagen.se/sv/dokument-lagar/dokument/skriftlig-fraga/syrgas-inom-aldreomsorge_n_H7111327

From: Granström, Anna <Anna.Granstrom@socialstyrelsen.se>

Subject: SV: Some follow-up questions

Date: October 7, 2020 10:16:14 AM CEST

To: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I want to start by apologizing for the delay.

I have looked at the documents you sent. The National Board of Health and Welfare's guidelines and knowledge support are based on legislation. Regions / municipalities then make their own interpretations and assessments. However, they may not do anything that is contrary to law. The National Board of Health and Welfare has always been clear that everyone has the right to individual assessment and treatment based on that assessment, regardless of age, background, gender, etc. If a person is in need of oxygen and it is not where you are, you can get this at elsewhere. However, this is not always appropriate and, as I said, an individual assessment must be made in each individual case. The documents you have sent do not provide support for refusing people the care they need. Again - if a person is refused hospital care, which would have benefited from hospital care, then it is something IVO is investigating.

I would also like to add that we at the National Board of Health and Welfare are reviewing our knowledge support in the area right now. They were taken, as you know, in an emergency situation under the prevailing circumstances at the time.

Sincerely

Anna

Anna Granström

Lawyer

THE SOCIAL BOARD

Legal Department

The unit for administrative law

106 30 Stockholm

Switch 075-247 30 00

www.socialstyrelsen.se

From: Claus Røyem <claus.royem@gmail.com>

Posted: Oct 5, 2020 9:01 pm Post subject:

To: Granström, Anna <Anna.Granstrom@socialstyrelsen.se>

Subject: Fwd: Some follow-up questions

Hi Anna,

I am very much looking forward to your reply to the email below.

With kind regards

[Redacted signature]

From: Claus Røyem <claus.royem@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Some follow-up questions

Date: September 21, 2020 12:43:23 PM CEST

To: "Granström, Anna" <Anna.Granstrom@socialstyrelsen.se>

Hi Anna,

Thank you very much for wanting to look at some guidelines that are perceived as very dubious in relation to the directives issued by the National Board of Health and Welfare. I see two different situations: 1) The one who does not live in a nursing home (From Örebro) and 2) the one who lives in a nursing home (From Västra Götaland). Therefore, I send an example for each situation. In old people's homes, terrible events have occurred when even residents without C19 have been refused hospital care. Feel free to read the link at the bottom.

From: Claus Røyem <claus.royem@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Some follow-up questions

Date: September 21, 2020 12:43:23 PM CEST

To: "Granström, Anna" <Anna.Granstrom@socialstyrelsen.se>

Hi Anna,

Thank you very much for wanting to look at some guidelines that are perceived as very dubious in relation to the directives issued by the National Board of Health and Welfare. I see two different situations: 1) The one who does not live in a nursing home (From Örebro) and 2) the one who lives in a nursing home (From Västra Götaland). Therefore, I send an example for each situation. In old people's homes, terrible events have occurred when even residents without C19 have been refused hospital care. Feel free to read the link at the bottom.

<https://sn.se/nyheter/artikel/min-mamma-blev-ytterligare-ett-offer---nekades-varld/pr55nk3r>

With kind regards

Claus Røyem
claus.royem@gmail.com

Sep 21 2020 at 09:34 Granström, Anna <Anna.Granstrom@socialstyrelsen.se> wrote:

Hi again [REDACTED],

Thanks for the letter.

I want to clarify that I have not written that we have checked the regions' guidelines, but that we do not know that there are those that contravene the National Board of Health and Welfare. I have also written that it is IVO that checks whether guidelines are followed (compliance with rules), not that they generally review the existing guidelines. I apologize if I have expressed myself vaguely. What I mean is that if they were to receive a report of guidelines that directly conflict with the National Board of Health and Welfare's guidelines, or e.g. a law, they make an investigation of the question - whether the report is made according to the criteria that exist. Again, regional guidelines should not be formulated in violation of the National Board of Health and Welfare and we do not know that such exist. If you send an example of one, I can look at it and take it further internally.

Greetings
Anna Granström

-----Original message-----

From: Claus Røyem <claus.royem@gmail.com>
Posted: Sep 16, 2020 10:28 am Post subject:
To: Granström, Anna <Anna.Granstrom@socialstyrelsen.se>
Subject: Re: Some follow-up questions

Hi Anna,
Thanks for your reply.

This is not true. In similar matters, IVO has previously relinquished its responsibility to review the regions' guidelines. They firmly claim that they ONLY review given care and do NOT have the task of assessing regional guidelines.

In your previous answer, you wrote that Thomas Lindén had checked that the regions' guidelines complied with the National Board of Health and Welfare's guidelines. What happens when it turns out that it is not true ??

With kind regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]